



Remarks:

All values with full line connections are on the basis of the hypothesis that the correlation between genetic distance values and distances in years is linear. In reality, the correlation between the genetic distances and the distance in years is exponential. For simplification, we consider all values with generic distances < 60 as having a linear correlation with the age of separation. Above these values, an exponential genetic distance/years correlation is assumed which gives approximate results, the inaccuracy errowing with the values

The broken collored thick lines reflect ancient, unknown historical states of the concerned languages or group of languages.

The **broken collored** thin lines connecting the supposed proto-languages and their nodes are hypotheses!

* Uralic to Turkic & Mongolic comparisons often hint at a possible distant relationship - the p-Values associated with these clues are low but still not low enough to be considered as a proof!

** Tungusic has a series of signals with p-value = 0,001 to Mongolic languages (average 70) but no signals to the Turkic or Uralic families.

Very important notice!!!

This classification in the timeline is experimental and is the result of the fully automated analysis of relationship between languages on the basis of 18 words only. Whereas it has an interesting value as an experiment in glottochronology it cannot be used as a reference for the representation of the historical evolution of the concerned languages!

Source: http://www.eLinguistics.net/